

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,018	10/785,018 02/25/2004		Robert Kenneth Nock	032899-011	9018
21839	7590	11/08/2004		EXAM	INER
BURNS DO		WECKER & MAT	VALENTI, A	VALENTI, ANDREA M	
		22313-1404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2612	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			<u> </u>
	ļ	Application No.	Applicant(s)
S Office	o Action Summany	10/785,018	NOCK, ROBERT KENNETH
Onic	e Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
7	" NO DATE OF THE	Andrea M. Valenti	3643
Period for Reply	LING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 THS from the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply by is specified above, the maximum statutory period whin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this	ive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Fe</u> on is FINAL . 2b)☐ This is application is in condition for alloward accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Cla	ims		
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-12 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdrav is/are allowed. 1-12 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Paper	s		
10)☐ The drawi Applicant i Replacem	fication is objected to by the Examiner ng(s) filed on is/are: a) accept any not request that any objection to the cent drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co app	dgment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority documents rtified copies of the priority documents pies of the certified copies of the prior blication from the International Bureau ached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Referen 2) Notice of Draftspe 3) Information Disclo	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of legal phraseology "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,223,637 to Keefe.

Regarding Claim 1, Keefe teaches a bird feeder perch assembly comprising a locating member (Keefe #26 and 34), a perch (Keefe #36) and pivoting means, wherein the pivoting means allows the perch to pivot relative to said locating member, such that

Art Unit: 3643

in use said locating member may engage a bird feeder body (Keefe #13) and when so engaged said perch may pivot, from a retracted position in which said perch is substantially flush with said bird feeder body, to an extended position in which said perch projects outwardly from said bird feeder body such that a bird may rest upon said perch (Keefe Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 #29).

Regarding Claim 2, Keefe teaches the perch pivots about an axis that, in use, is either substantially parallel to or tangential to the outer surface of said bird feeder body (Keefe #13 and #32).

Regarding Claim 3, Keefe teaches the pivoting means comprises a recess in said locating member and an engaging shaft attached to or integral with said perch (Keefe #32 and 34).

Regarding Claim 4, Keefe teaches the recess in said locating member is U-shaped (Fig. 3 #34).

Regarding Claim 5, Keefe teaches in moving from retracted position to extended position said perch pivots through angle of at least 80 degrees (Keefe Fig. 2 #29).

Regarding Claim 6, Keefe teaches the perch comprises a restraining portion that is displaced some distance outward from the axis about which said perch may pivot such that when said perch is in the fully extended position said restraining portion abuts against a stop member that is integral with or attached to said locating member (Keefe #38).

Regarding Claim 7, Keefe teaches the perch comprises at least two elongate members that extend in mutually orthogonal directions (Fig. 3 #29).

Art Unit: 3643

Regarding Claim 10, Keefe teaches the pivoting means comprises a plurality of recesses in said locating member (Fig. 3 #34).

Regarding Claim 11, Keefe teaches the locating member is a bird feeding port (Keefe #26).

Regarding Claim 12, Keefe teaches in use said pivoting means is located below said bird feeding port aperture (Keefe Fig. 2).

Claims 1-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Patent EP 0104766 to Hegedus et al.

Regarding Claim 1, Hegedus teaches a bird feeder perch assembly comprising a locating member (Hegedus Fig. 8), a perch (Hegedus #123) and pivoting means, wherein the pivoting means allows the perch to pivot relative to said locating member, such that in use said locating member may engage a bird feeder body and when so engaged said perch may pivot, from a retracted position in which said perch is substantially flush with said bird feeder body, to an extended position in which said perch projects outwardly from said bird feeder body such that a bird may rest ùpon said perch (Hegedus Fig. 6 and 7).

Regarding Claim 2, Hegedus teaches the perch pivots about an axis that, in use, is either substantially parallel to or tangential to the outer surface of said bird feeder body (Hegedus Fig. 4 and 1).

Art Unit: 3643

Regarding Claim 3, Hegedus teaches the pivoting means comprises a recess in said locating member and an engaging shaft attached to or integral with said perch (Hegedus Fig. 8 #39).

Regarding Claim 4, Hegedus teaches the recess in said locating member is U-shaped (Hegedus Fig. 1 and 5).

Regarding Claim 5, Hegedus teaches in moving from retracted position to extended position said perch pivots through angle of at least 80 (Hegedus Fig. 1 #123).

Regarding Claim 6, Hegedus teaches a restraining portion that is displaced some distance outward from the axis about which said perch may pivot such that when said perch is in the fully extended position said restraining portion abuts against a stop member that is integral with or attached to said locating member (Hegedus #126 and 128).

Regarding Claim 7, Hegedus teaches a perch comprises at least two elongate members that extend in mutually orthogonal directions (Hegedus Fig. 7 #37).

Regarding Claim 9, Hegedus teaches an assembly that the perch is U-shaped and said pivoting means comprises a pivot at each free end of said perch (Hegedus Fig. 3 #122).

Regarding Claim 10, Hegedus teaches pivoting means comprises a plurality of recesses in said locating member (Hegedys Fig, 7 #37).

Regarding Claim 11, Hegedus teaches the locating member is a bird feeding port (Hegedus Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 3643

Regarding Claim 12, Hegedus teaches the pivoting means is located below said bird feeding port aperture (Hegedus Fig. 4 #123).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,223,637 to Keefe.

Regarding Claims 8 and 9, Keefe is silent on the perch being ring-shaped and where said pivoting means comprises a pivot at the periphery of said ring or the perch being U-shaped and said pivoting means comprises a pivot at each free end of said perch. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Keefe at the time of the invention since the modification is merely a change in shape of an element performing the same intended function merely modified to enhance the ergonomic features of the design for the birds.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,033,411; U.S. Patent No. 6,408,788; U.S. Patent No. 2,891,711; German Patent DE 2730066.

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Valenti whose telephone number is 703-305-3010. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm M-F; Alternating Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 703-308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrea M. Valenti
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3643

26 October 2004

Peter M. Poon <

V f m Va

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3600

Page 7